United States of America

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

	VERNON JEFFREY ROMAIN) Case No. 5:15-CR-243-1F
	Defendant)
	DETENTION OR	DER PENDING TRIAL
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bathat the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
□ (1)		indings of Fact d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
	of □ a federal offense □ a state or local off	ense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or mo	. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
	\square an offense for which the maximum sentence	ce is death or life imprisonment.
	\square an offense for which a maximum prison te	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
		*
	□ a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses, or comparable state or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:
	☐ a minor victim	
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or define \Box	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	$\ \square$ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was commfederal, state release or local offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed sin-	ce the date of conviction the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding	(1).
□ (4)		le presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
Alternative Findings (B)			
$\mathbf{Y}(2)$	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and			
convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.			
For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community. The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment			
	The apparent strength of the government's case The lack of a suitable custodian		
	The indication of substance abuse The fact that the charges arose while on state probation		
	The defendant's criminal history The history of probation revocations		
	Other:		
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention			
	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement		

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

The defendant may move to reopen his detention hearing if he is released from State custody prior to the conclusion of his federal case.

Date: October 28, 2015

Juage's signature

Robert T Numbers II

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title